Cloning of symmetric d-level photonic states in physical systems

Heng Fan[a], Gregor Weihs[b], Keiji Matsumoto[a], and Hiroshi Imai[a]
[a] *Quantum computing and information project, ERATO,*
Japan Science and Technology Corporation,
Daini Hongo White Bldg.201, Hongo 5-28-3, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 133-0033, Japan.
[b] *Ginzton Laboratory, Stanford University, Stanford, CA 94305, USA.*

arXiv:quant-ph/0112094v2 13 May 2002

Optimal procedures play an important role in quantum information. It turns out that some naturally occurring processes like emission of light from an atom can realize optimal transformations. Here we study how arbitrary symmetric states of a number of d-level systems can be cloned using a multilevel atomic system. It is shown that optimality is always ensured even though the output number of systems is probabilistic.

The no-cloning theorem, a well known result in quantum theory [1], states that no unitary transformation can copy exactly two distinct, nonorthogonal pure states. Also it has been extended to other cases [2,3] such as a no-broadcast theorem for noncommuting mixed states and no-cloning theorem for entangled states. However, the no-cloning theorem does not forbid imperfect cloning. Only in recent years it has been shown that it is in principle possible to construct a universal quantum cloning machine (UQCM). This machine will clone arbitrary pure states equally well [4], where the fidelity is the measure of cloning quality. The UQCM was later proved to be optimal [5] and is a very nice example of a device that conserves quantum information. A generalization from 1 to 2 cloning to the general N to M cloning transformation was proposed by Gisin and Massar [6]. Subsequently the cloning of d-level quantum systems was studied first by completely positive maps [7,8], and later by unitary transformations [9–11]. The relation between universal cloning and quantum estimation theory [12] was studied in [13], and the upper bound of cloning for the input in symmetric subspace was obtained. Very recently general cloning transformations with input in the symmetric subspace were proposed and proved to be optimal [11].

A widespread view on quantum cloning assumes that it must be realized by quantum networks [14]. Thus it came a little bit surprising that stimulated emission of photons can realize the UQCM [15,16] without further engineering, and the corresponding fidelity is optimal. This provides an easy way to realize a UQCM and to achieve the upper bound of the cloning fidelity. In this scheme, it has been shown that certain types of three-level atoms can be used to optimally clone quantum information that is encoded as an arbitrary superposition of excitations in photonic modes. These photonic modes are associated with corresponding atomic transitions which mediate the cloning. The universality of the cloning is ensured in the scheme by requiring symmetry under general unitary transformations of the system to be cloned (see Ref. [15,16] for detailed arguments). It should be noted that quantum cloning of orthogonal qubits proposed in [17] and extended by Fiurasek et al can also be realized by the scheme of stimulated emission of photons [18].

The purpose of our work is to show that it is possible to generalize the scheme introduced in [15,16] in two directions. It will be shown first that we can allow for a wider set of input states and second that the scheme naturally extends to the cloning of d-level systems. We shall first briefly introduce the qubit case extended to arbitrary input states in the Bose subspace. The qubits will be composed by a particle being in either of two bosonic modes, e. g. two polarization modes. With these extended initial states, we provide another way to prove that this cloning scheme is universal.

Then, we shall continue by studying the cloning of states in d-dimensional Hilbert space. A generalized Hamiltonian with d+1-level quantum systems as the cloning medium will be studied, and the optimal cloning transformation for arbitrary symmetric states in d-dimensional Hilbert space is obtained as a result.

Finally we will briefly discuss the experimental issues for d-dimensional quantum cloning. We remark that our results imply that whenever a cloning system can be represented by bosonic operators, we can clone arbitrary states by this UQCM with a fidelity that achieves its upper bound.

We first briefly review the quantum cloning scheme proposed in [15,16]. The cloning device is an inverted medium that can spontaneously emit photons of any polarization with the same probability. This symmetry property will ensure that the cloning transformation induced by the inverted medium is universal. For the qubit case, the initial state medium should consist of a population inverted ensemble of three-level Λ atoms. The system has two degenerate ground states $|g_1\rangle$ and $|g_2\rangle$ and an excited level $|e\rangle$.[1] The ground states are coupled to the excited state by two modes of the electromagnetic field a_1 and a_2, respectively. The interaction between field and medium is described by the Hamiltonian

[1] On could also start out with V-type three-level systems but in Λ-systems the initial state turns out to be much simpler.

$$H = \gamma \left(a_1 \sum_{k=1}^{N} |e^k\rangle\langle g_1^k| + a_2 \sum_{k=1}^{N} |e^k\rangle\langle g_2^k| \right) + H.c. \quad (1)$$

The general superposition state of an input qubit is expressed by the form $(\alpha a_1^\dagger + \beta a_2^\dagger)|0,0\rangle = \alpha|1,0\rangle + \beta|0,1\rangle$. The initial state considered in [15,16] takes the following form

$$|\Psi_{in}\rangle = \otimes_{k=1}^{N} |e^k\rangle \frac{(a_1^\dagger)^m}{\sqrt{m!}} |0,0\rangle. \quad (2)$$

Suppose we want to clone M identical pure states $|\Phi\rangle^{\otimes M} = (\alpha a_1^\dagger + \beta a_2^\dagger)^{\otimes N}|0,0\rangle$. It is then argued that we only need to consider the cloning of initial state (2) with the Hamiltonian (1) [15,16]. Here we present another method. If we know how to clone the state

$$|\Psi_{in}, j\rangle = \otimes_{k=1}^{M} |e^k\rangle \frac{(a_1^\dagger)^{M-j}(a_2^\dagger)^j}{\sqrt{(M-j)!j!}} |0,0\rangle, \quad j = 0, 1, \cdots, M, \quad (3)$$

it will be straightforward to clone the M identical pure states $|\Phi\rangle^{\otimes M} = (\alpha a_1^\dagger + \beta a_2^\dagger)^{\otimes M}|0,0\rangle$. And more interesting, we can extend the input of the UQCM to arbitrary states in the Bose subspace because $\frac{(a_1^\dagger)^i (a_2^\dagger)^j}{\sqrt{i!j!}}|0,0\rangle, i+j = M$ constitutes a complete orthonormal basis of the Bose subspace with M qubits. We remark here that arbitrary input states in Bose subspace also include mixed states.

For convenience, we use the same notation as in [15,16]. Using the Schwinger representation we denote the total angular momentum operator as $b_r c^\dagger \equiv \sum_{k=1}^{N} |e^k\rangle\langle g_r^k|, \quad r = 1, 2$, where $c^\dagger$ is the creation operator of "e-type" excitations and b_r is the annihilation operator of the ground states g_r, $r = 1, 2$. The Hamiltonian (1) then becomes as follows in terms of harmonic-oscillator operators

$$\mathcal{H} = \gamma(a_1 b_1 + a_2 b_2)c^\dagger + H.c. \quad (4)$$

Now we study the case of initial states containing both kinds of oscillators $a_1^\dagger$ and $a_2^\dagger$ of $i + j$ qubits,

$$\begin{aligned} |\Psi_{in}, (i,j)\rangle &= \frac{(a_1^\dagger)^i (a_2^\dagger)^j (c^\dagger)^N}{\sqrt{i!j!N!}} |0\rangle \\ &= |i_{a_1}, j_{a_2}\rangle |0_{b_1}, 0_{b_2}\rangle |N_c\rangle \\ &\equiv |i,j\rangle_a |0,0\rangle_b |N\rangle_c. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

With the initial state (5), the time evolution of the state acts as follows [16]

$$\begin{aligned} &|\Psi(t), (i,j)\rangle = e^{-iHt} |\Psi_{in}, (i,j)\rangle \\ &= \sum_p (-iHt)^p / p! |\Psi_{in}, (i,j)\rangle = \sum_{l=0}^{N} f_l(t) |F_l, (i,j)\rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

where $|\Psi_{in}, (i,j)\rangle = |F_0, (i,j)\rangle$, and the state $|F_l, (i,j)\rangle$ expresses that $i + j + l$ copies of the initial state (5) are obtained. l is the number of additional photons that have been emitted. So the output state of this cloning machine may contains between 0 and N additional copies of the initial state (5). It will always be a superposition of $|F_l, (i,j)\rangle$ components. The probability of finding l additional copies is determined by the amplitude $|f_l(t)|^2$ of the corresponding term. After some calculations we find that the output with l additional copies is

$$|F_l, (i,j)\rangle = \sum_{k=0}^{l} \sqrt{\frac{l!(i+j+1)!}{(i+j+l+1)!}} \sqrt{\frac{(i+l-k)!(j+k)!}{i!j!k!(l-k)!}} |i+l-k, j+k\rangle_a |l-k, k\rangle_b |N-l\rangle_c. \quad (7)$$

The cloning transformation takes $i + j$ qubits in the form (5) as an input, and produces $i+j+l$ output qubits in the form (7). The action of the Hamiltonian (4) on the state $|F_l, (i,j)\rangle$ is as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{H}|F_l, (i,j)\rangle \\ &= \gamma(\sqrt{(l+1)(N-l)(i+j+l+2)} |F_{l+1}, (i,j)\rangle \\ &\quad + \sqrt{l(N-l+1)(i+j+l+1)} |F_{l-1}, (i,j)\rangle, \\ &\quad\quad l \le l < N, \\ &\mathcal{H}|F_0, (i,j)\rangle = \gamma\sqrt{N(i+j+2)} |F_1, (i,j)\rangle, \\ &\mathcal{H}|F_N, (i,j)\rangle = \gamma\sqrt{N(i+j+N+1)} |F_{N-1}, (i,j)\rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

We remark that in the case where $i = m, j = 0$, we recover the results of [16].

We now consider the cloning of M identical pure input states to L copies. We have

$$\begin{aligned} &|\Phi\rangle^{\otimes M} = (\alpha a_1^\dagger + \beta a_2^\dagger)^{\otimes M} |0,0\rangle \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{M} \frac{M!}{\sqrt{(M-j)!j!}} \alpha^{M-j} \beta^j \frac{(a_1^\dagger)^{M-j}(a_2^\dagger)^j}{\sqrt{(M-j)!j!}} |0,0\rangle \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

and we already know the cloning of the basis states $\frac{(a_1^\dagger)^{M-j}(a_2^\dagger)^j}{\sqrt{(M-j)!j!}}|0,0\rangle$ Using the cloning transformation (7), we can obtain the L-copy output as

$$\begin{aligned} |\Phi\rangle^{out} &= \sum_{j=0}^{M} \sum_{k=0}^{L-M} \frac{M!}{\sqrt{(M-j)!j!}} \alpha^{M-j} \beta^j \\ &\sqrt{\frac{(L-M)!(M+1)!}{(L+1)!}} \sqrt{\frac{(L-j-k)!(j+k)!}{(M-j)!j!k!(L-M-k)!}} \\ &|L-j-k, k+j\rangle_a |L-M-k, k\rangle_b |N-(M-L)\rangle_c. \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

The density operator of the photonic output can be obtained by tracing over the b and c modes. By tracing out all but one qubit (a modes) we can obtain the output reduced density operator. The fidelity calculated therefrom is $F = M(L+2)+L-M/L(M+2)$, which is known to be optimal. Therefore we have shown both that the cloning

transformation is universal and optimal. A further criterion will later show that the cloning transformation (7) is also optimal and universal in the d-level case.

We know from (5) that the cloning machine allows arbitrary mixed input states in Bose subspace. We consider an input state of M qubits of the form

$$\rho = \sum_{jj'}^{M} \alpha_{jj'} \frac{(a_1^\dagger)^{M-j}(a_2^\dagger)^j}{\sqrt{(M-j)!j!}} |00\rangle\langle 00| \frac{(a_1)^{M-j'}(a_2)^{j'}}{\sqrt{(M-j')!j'!}}, \quad (11)$$

where $\alpha_{jj'}$ are arbitrary parameters. Certainly we need ρ to be a density operator. Using the cloning transformation (7), we can obtain l additional copies. It can be proved that with a general input (11), the transformation (7) is still optimal [11]. We remark that (5) even allows the input states to be composed of different qubits if they can be expressed by Bosonic operators, although the interpretation is not as obvious as for identical qubits.

In the following we will present the main result of our work. We will study the optimal photon cloning of states in a d-dimensional Hilbert space (qudits). The atoms of the inverted cloning medium now must have one excited state $|e\rangle$ and d ($d \geq 2$) ground states $|g_n\rangle, n = 1, 2, \cdots, d$, where again each transition is coupled to a different degree of freedom of the photons a_n. Similar to the qubit case, we denote by $b_r c^\dagger \equiv \sum_{k=1}^N |e^k\rangle\langle g_r^k|, \quad r = 1, \cdots, d$. The Hamiltonian of the cloning system in terms of harmonic-oscillator operators is written as [16]

$$\mathcal{H}_d = \gamma(a_1 b_1 + \cdots + a_d b_d) + H.c. \quad (12)$$

Again we consider general initial states in the Bose subspace

$$|\Psi_{in}, \vec{j}\rangle = \prod_{i=1}^{d} \frac{(a_i^\dagger)^{j_i}}{\sqrt{j_i!}} \frac{(c^\dagger)^N}{\sqrt{N!}} |0\rangle \equiv |\vec{j}\rangle_a |\vec{0}\rangle_b |N\rangle_c, \quad (13)$$

where $\vec{j} = (j_1, j_2, \cdots, j_d)$. There are still N excited states in the initial state, so the number of additional copies is restricted by N. We remark that the initial states (13) to be cloned span arbitrary states in the Bose subspace and constitute an orthonormal basis. One can see easily that the time evolution of states for qudits is the same as the one for qubits presented in (6). That means the probability to obtain l additional copies is still $|f_l(t)|^2$. We denote $|F_0, \vec{j}\rangle \equiv |\Psi_{in}, \vec{j}\rangle$, $\sum_i j_i = M$. The output of the cloning system with l additional copies of the initial state (13) can be calculated as

$$|F_l, \vec{j}\rangle = \sum_{k_i}^{l} \sqrt{\frac{(M+d-1)!l!}{(M+l+d-1)!}} \prod_{i=1}^{d} \sqrt{\frac{(k_i+j_i)!}{k_i! j_i!}} \\ |\vec{j}+\vec{k}\rangle_a |\vec{k}\rangle_b |N-l\rangle_c, \quad (14)$$

where the summation $\sum_{k_i}^{l}$ means taking the sum over all variables under the condition $\sum_i^d k_i = l$.

It is very interesting that the cloning transformation (14) with input (13) is completely determined by the interaction Hamiltonian (12). Given different input states to be cloned, the action of the Hamiltonian on the initial states will produce the corresponding cloning output. That means the procedure of quantum cloning is completely controlled by the Hamiltonian as showed by the following calculations

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{H}_d|F_l, \vec{j}\rangle = \gamma(\sqrt{(l+1)(N-l)(M+l+d)}|F_{l+1}, \vec{j}\rangle \\ &+\sqrt{l(N-l+1)(M+l+d-1)}|F_{l-1}, \vec{j}\rangle, \\ &\quad l \leq l < N, \\ &\mathcal{H}_d|F_0, \vec{j}\rangle = \gamma\sqrt{N(M+d)}|F_1, \vec{j}\rangle, \\ &\mathcal{H}_d|F_N, \vec{j}\rangle = \gamma\sqrt{N(M+N+d-1)}|F_{N-1}, \vec{j}\rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

Now we see how to clone M identical qudits to $M + l \equiv L$ copies. An arbitrary qudit takes the form $|\Psi\rangle = \sum_{i=1}^d x_i a_i^\dagger |\vec{0}\rangle$, with $\sum_{i=1}^d |x_i|^2 = 1$. The state of M identical qudits to be cloned can be expressed as follows

$$\begin{aligned} |\Psi\rangle^{\otimes M} &= (\sum_{i=1}^{d} x_i a_i^\dagger)^{\otimes M} |\vec{0}\rangle \\ &= M! \sum_{j_i}^{M} \prod_{i=1}^{d} \frac{x_i^{j_i}}{\sqrt{j_i!}} \frac{(a_i^\dagger)^{j_i}}{\sqrt{j_i!}} |\vec{0}\rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

Consider that we intend to clone this state in the system with N atoms in the excited state $|e\rangle$. This implies that the number of additional copies is restricted by N. With the help of the cloning transformation (14), we find that the output of L copies of M identical input qudits has the following form

$$\begin{aligned} |\Psi\rangle^{out} &= M! \sum_{j_i}^{M} \sum_{k_i}^{l} \sqrt{\frac{(M+d-1)!l!}{(L+d-1)!}} \\ &\times \prod_{i=1}^{d} \frac{x_i^{j_i}}{j_i!} \sqrt{\frac{(k_i+j_i)!}{k_i!}} |\vec{j}+\vec{k}\rangle_a |\vec{k}\rangle_b, \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

where we omit the type c modes which count the number of clones that the system has produced. We can calculate the fidelity of the cloning transformation to be

$$F = \langle\Psi|\rho_{red.}^{out}|\Psi\rangle = \frac{M(L+d)+L-M}{L(M+d)}, \quad (18)$$

where $\rho_{red.}^{out}$ means taking the trace over the ancilla modes, i.e. b-type modes, and over all but one a mode of $\rho^{out} = |\Psi\rangle^{out\ out}\langle\Psi|$. This fidelity is the optimal fidelity for identical pure input states in d-dimensional Hilbert space [7,8]. Also, obviously the cloning transformation is universal.

Next, instead of the fidelity between input and output reduced density operators of a single qudit, we use the fidelity between the output of L qudits and L identical pure qudits as the measure of quality for the cloning

transformation (14). The definition of this fidelity takes the following form:

$$\mathcal{F} = {}^{L\otimes}\langle\Psi|Tr_b\{|\Psi\rangle^{out\ out}\langle\Psi|\}|\Psi\rangle^{\otimes L} \tag{19}$$

With the help of the result (17), and considering the normalization, we find that

$$\mathcal{F} = \frac{L!(M+d-1)!}{M!(L+d-1)!}. \tag{20}$$

This is the optimal cloning fidelity for identical pure input states [7]. We remark that optimal cloning of pure states was studied by Werner et al. [7,8] using completely positive (CP) maps realized by symmetric projection operators. In this paper, quantum cloning (14) is obtained from the Hamiltonian and is consequently realized by a unitary transformation. Thus we show that for both density operator and reduced density operator, the fidelities of the cloning transformation in (14) are optimal for identical pure input states. Next, we study the cloning of arbitrary symmetric mixed states of d-level quantum systems.

As in the qubit case, relation (13) also admits arbitrary mixed qudits in Bose subspace as inputs. The most general input states can take the form

$$\rho = \sum_{\vec{j}\vec{j}'}^{M} \alpha_{\vec{j}\vec{j}'}|\vec{j}\rangle_a\ {}_a\langle\vec{j}'| \tag{21}$$

with arbitrary parameters $\alpha_{\vec{j}\vec{j}'}$ under the restriction that ρ is a density operator. We can prove [11] that the cloning transformation (14) is the optimal cloning transformation, i.e., the shrinking factor between the input and output reduced density operators of a single qudit achieves its upper bound.

Formally the cloning of d-level quantum systems can be optimally realized in the atomic system presented above. A pratical difficulty arises because the proposal assumes d distinct photonic modes. Obviously the photon's polarization provides only two of these modes. The fact that it would be very difficult, if possible at all, to achieve the degeneracy of the corresponding atomic transitions leads to the idea that one could use various longitudinal (frequency) modes of the photon field as a basis. Still, we are aware of the difficulty of identifying a level scheme where the couplings to the light field are all equally strong. But even if the experimental realization of our scheme seems difficult, it might still be easier than to set up the equivalent quantum network and it shows that generalized quantum cloning is very naturally embedded in the interaction of atoms and photons.

Very recently an experiment by Nuclear Magnetic Resonance to implement the one to two UQCM as a quantum network has been reported [19]. Another experiment which demonstrates the onset of laser-like action for entangled photons [20] has been extended to implement cloning of photons in parametric down-conversion [21]. The experiment showed very good agreement with the theoretical predictions.

Acknowlegements: G. W. would like to acknowledge the University of Vienna for granting him a leave during which this work was prepared. We thank X.B.Wang for useful discussions.

[1] W. K. Wootters, and W. H. Zurek, Nature (London)**299**, 802(1982).
[2] H. Barnum, C. Caves, C. A. Fuchs, and B. Schumacher, Phys. Rev. Lett. **76**, 2818(1996).
[3] M. Koashi, and N. Imoto, Phys. Rev. Lett. **81**, 4264 (1998).
[4] V. Bužek, and M. Hillery, Phys. Rev. A**54**, 1844(1996).
[5] D. Bruß, D. DiVincenzo, A. Ekert, C. A. Fuchs, C. Macchiavello, and J. A. Smolin, Phys. Rev. A**57**, 2368(1998).
[6] N. Gisin, and S. Massar, Phys. Rev. Lett. **79**,2153(1997).
[7] R. F. Werner, Phys. Rev. A**58**, 1827(1998).
[8] M. Keyl and R. F. Werner, J. Math. Phys. **40**, 3283 (1999).
[9] V. Bužek, and H. Hillery, Phys. Rev. Lett. **81**, 5003 (1998).
[10] H. Fan, K. Matsumoto, and M. Wadati, Phys. Rev. A**64**, 064301 (2001).
[11] H. Fan, K. Matsumoto, X. B. Wang, H. Imai, and M. Wadati, quant-ph/0107113.
[12] R. Derka, V.Bužek, and A.Ekert, Phys. Rev. lett. **80**, 1571 (1998).
[13] D. Bruß,A. Ekert, and C. Macchiavello, Phys. Rev. Lett. **81**, 2598(1998).
[14] V. Bužek, S. L. Braunstein, M. Hillery, and D. Bruß, Phys. Rev. A**56**, 3446 (1997).
[15] C. Simon, G. Weihs, and A. Zeilinger, Phys. Rev. Lett. **84**, 2993 (2000).
[16] J. Kempe, C. Simon, and G. Weihs, Phys. Rev. A**62**, 032302 (2000).
[17] N.Gisin and S.Popescu, Phys. Rev. Lett. **83**, 432 (1999).
[18] J.Fiurasek, S.Iblisdir, S.Massar, and N.J.Cerf, quant-ph/0110016.
[19] H.K.Cummins, et al, quant-ph/0111098.
[20] A.Lamas-Linares, J.C.Howell, and D.Bouwmeester, Nature **412**, 887 (2001).
[21] A. Lamas-Linares, J. C. Howell, C. Simon, and D. Bouwmeester, submitted for publication (2001).